

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/600,850	06/20/2003	Ayumu Oda	59408 (49321)	6007
21874 7	590 06/28/2005		EXAMINER	
EDWARDS & ANGELL, LLP P.O. BOX 55874		PHAM, HAI CHI		
BOSTON, MA	• •		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2861	

DATE MAILED: 06/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	- X
Office Action Commence	10/600,850	ODA ET AL.	U
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Hai C. Pham	2861	
The MAILING DATE of this communicated for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence add	ress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun.  - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum stature of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION.  37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON II, by statute, cause the application to become AE	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this con BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b 3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice.	)⊠ This action is non-final. r allowance except for formal matt	•	merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 20 June 2003 i Applicant may not request that any objecti Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to be	s/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obje on to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar ne correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). i(s) is objected to. See 37 CFF	• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo  a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority do  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority do  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of application from the International  * See the attached detailed Office action	ocuments have been received. ocuments have been received in A the priority documents have been al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National S	Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date 06/20/03.	D-948) Paper No(	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO- 	.152)

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-4, 6-10, 12-16, 18-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Inoue et al. (JP 62-166372) in view of Nakamura et al. (JP 07-270673) and Patten et al. (Pub. No. U.S. 2002/0196473).

Inoue et al., an acknowledged prior art, discloses an image exposure device and focusing method comprising a pattern image forming process for forming a test pattern including multiple pattern elements (Fig. 5) corresponding to pixels arranged along a main scanning direction over an image forming area onto a surface of an image-carrying member (10), and a position adjustment process for adjusting the position of the optical writing unit relative to the surface of the image-carrying member based on the result of the test pattern.

Page 3

However, Inoue et al. fails to teach the position adjustment process being based on the density levels of the multiple pattern elements of the test pattern formed on the printing medium, and the test pattern being binary pattern elements, the density levels being determined by the diameter of individual dots, the light-emitting power of the individual light-emitting elements.

Nakamura et al., an acknowledged prior art, discloses an image exposure device and focusing method comprising a pattern image forming process for forming a test pattern including multiple pattern elements (exposure test pattern a) corresponding to pixels arranged along a main scanning direction over an image forming area onto a surface of an image-carrying member (12) to form a latent image, which is converted into a visible toner image and the toner image being transferred from the surface of the image-carrying member onto a printing medium, and a position adjustment process for adjusting the position of the optical writing unit relative to the surface of the image-carrying member based on the result of the density levels of the multiple pattern elements of the test pattern formed on the printing medium. Nakamura et al. further teaches setting the optical write unit (45) at a position closer or farther from the image-carrying member as compared to a position having a correct focus in order to expose the image-carrying member with the test pattern consisting of binary image data. However, Nakamura et al. fails to teach the test pattern having varying density levels.

Pattern et al. discloses a focus adjustment mechanism by printing a continuous test patterns along the main scanning direction (Fig. 5A), the test patterns having

Art Unit: 2861

varying density levels, which are defined by the size or diameter of the spot on the imaging surface, or by varying the exposure level.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to adjust the focus of the optical write unit of Inoue et al. based on the read density of the test pattern as taught by Nakamura et al. and Patten et al. such that a fine position adjustment process can be obtained.

Inoue et al. further teaches:

- An assembly process for installing the optical writing unit at an offset position closer to or farther away from the image-carrying member than a position where the focal point of the light emitted from the individual light-emitting elements is expected to coincide with the surface of the image-carrying member before execution of said pattern image forming process (Figs. 6 and 7),
- Wherein said assembly process is performed when both ends of the optical writing unit at extremities of the image forming area in the main scanning direction are affixed to an adjustment mechanism (e.g., using screws 20a and 20b),
- A memory for storing data on a test pattern (memory of the computer 70).
- Claims 5 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 4. Inoue et al. in view of Nakamura et al. and Patten et al., as applied to claims 3 and 15 above, and further in view of Nakazawa et al. (U.S. 6,288,733).

Inoue et al., as modified, discloses all the basic limitations of the claimed invention except for the pattern image forming process being a process in which light-emitting time of the individual light-emitting elements is controlled.

Nakazawa et al. discloses a calibration device in which the density levels of an image can be formed by either varying the exposure time (e.g., adjust the pulse width modulating the laser beam) or the exposure power.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to vary the density levels of the test pattern in the modified device of Inoue et al. by varying the exposure time of the light emitting elements as taught by Nakazawa et al. since Nakazawa et al. teaches this to be known in the art for varying the density levels of the test pattern.

5. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Inoue et al. in view of Nakamura et al. and Patten et al., as applied to claim 10 above, and further in view of Imakawa (U.S. 5,231,280).

Inoue et al., as modified, discloses all the basic limitations of the claimed invention except for the controlled actuator.

Imakawa discloses in Fig. 6 a focusing error detection apparatus using a piezoelectric element (13) to adjust the position of the laser source (11) so as to focus the laser beam on the surface to be scanned.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the controlled piezoelectric element in the

Application/Control Number: 10/600,850 Page 6

Art Unit: 2861

focus adjustment device of Inoue et al. as taught by Imakawa. The motivation for doing so would have been to constantly and automatically adjust the focus of the light beam on the surface to be scanned to obtain a high quality image.

### Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hai C. Pham whose telephone number is (571) 272-2260. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30AM - 5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David L. Talbott can be reached on (571) 272-1934. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

HAI PHAM
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Harlutham

June 24, 2005